

**ORIGINAL**

Priority  
Send  
Enter  
Closed  
JS-5/JS-6  
JS-2/JS-3  
Scan Only

FILED  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
MAY - 5 2004  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
BY *[Signature]* DEPUTY

LODGED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
Central

DUPACO, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

OMNI THERM, INC., a Missouri corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO. CV 03-2517 DDP (MANx)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER TO  
DEFENDANT OMNI THERM, INC. TO  
ATTEND HEARING AND TO SHOW  
CAUSE WHY IT SHOULD NOT BE  
SANCTIONED FOR CONTEMPT OF  
THIS COURT'S CONSENT  
JUDGMENT AND PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION**

May 13, 2004

Date: ~~To be announced~~Time: ~~To be announced~~ 11:00 A.M.Place: Courtroom 3

Judge: Hon. Dean D. Pregerson

Magistrate Judge: Hon. Margaret A. Nagle

Plaintiff DUPACO, INC.'s *Ex Parte* Application for Order to Show Cause Re Contempt having been received by the Court and evidence of service of Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Application for Order to Show Cause Re Contempt together with all of the supporting papers having been served on Defendant OMNI THERM, INC., said Application and supporting papers having been duly considered by the Court,

AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE,

DOCKETED ON CM  
MAY 5 2004  
BY *[Signature]* 021

1           **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiffs and Defendants shall appear at a hearing on  
 2 May 13, 2004, commencing at ~~10:30~~ <sup>11:00</sup> a.m., before the Honorable Dean R. Pregerson, in  
 3 Courtroom 3 of the above-entitled Court located at 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles,  
 4 California 90012. Defendant OMNI THERM, INC. is ordered to show cause why it should not  
 5 be held in contempt of this Court's Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction prohibiting  
 6 infringement of DUPACO's U.S. patent number 6,490,737.

7           Defendant OMNI THERM, INC. shall have until May 11, 2004, to file and personally  
 8 serve its defenses to Plaintiff's Application for Order to Show Cause Re Contempt.  
 9 Defendant OMNI THERM, INC. is directed to note that absent a satisfactory showing as to  
 10 why it should not be sanctioned for contempt of this Court's Consent Judgment and  
 11 Preliminary Injunction, it may become subject to an Order:

- 12           (1) Requiring OMNI THERM to forthwith provide an accounting of all OMNI  
                  Disposa-View Head Cushions manufactured by it from inception to the  
                  present, indicating the disposition of the units produced;
- 13           (2) Requiring OMNI THERM to recall all existing inventory of the OMNI Disposa-  
                  View Head Cushion Model No. OTC20 from its distributors and to account for  
                  and produce that inventory to DUPACO for destruction;
- 14           (3) Requiring OMNI THERM to produce to DUPACO all inventory of its Model  
                  No. OTC20 not accounted for by product placed with distributors or by sale,  
                  for destruction;
- 15           (4) Requiring OMNI THERM to produce its manufacturing tooling for the OMNI  
                  Disposa-View Head Cushion Model No. OTC20 to DUPACO for destruction;
- 16           (5) Requiring OMNI THERM to pay to DUPACO forthwith:
  - 17               a. Compensation to DUPACO for the underlying infringement calculated at  
                  DUPACO's lost profits of \$8.66 per unit resulting from OMNI  
                  THERM's sale of 1,900 units of its Model OT-C10,  $\$8.66 \times 1900 =$   
                  \$16,454, and that such amount be trebled,  $\$16,454 \times 3 = \$49,362$ ;
  - 18               b. Compensation to DUPACO for the second infringement in violation of  
                  the Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction, based on an

Gordon & Rees LLP  
 1660 Union Street  
 San Diego, CA 92101

1 accounting of all OMNI THERM sales of the Disposa-View Head  
 2 Cushion Model No. OT-C20 after the date of entry of the permanent  
 3 injunction on July 15, 2003 to the present, in an amount equal to \$10.00  
 4 for each such unit and that such an amount be trebled;

- 5 c. Contempt sanctions in the amount of DUPACO's attorneys' fees and  
 6 costs incurred in connection with the underlying Consent Judgment and  
 7 permanent injunction and in the amount of DUPACO's attorneys fees  
 8 and costs incurred in connection with the present contempt proceedings  
 9 in an amount to be determined by the Court after an *in camera* review  
 10 of DUPACO's attorneys' billings;
- 11 d. Fine for contempt of the Court's order to serve as a deterrent against  
 12 future violations of the Consent Judgment and permanent injunction, in  
 13 a lump sum amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000);
- 14 e. Establishing the amount of a fine per unit for future violations of the  
 15 Consent Judgment and permanent injunction, should any occur, in  
 16 which would be in excess of DUPACO's gross profit of \$8.66 per unit  
 17 for every unit sold in violation of the Consent Judgment and permanent  
 18 injunction, after the conclusion of this contempt proceeding, of any  
 19 product that infringes DUPACO's '737 Patent and that such amount be  
 20 trebled.

21 DUPACO further respectfully requests that this Court issue an order to show cause  
 22 directing OMNI THERM to appear and show cause within five days of service of the order why  
 23 the Court should not issue an order, for relief, sanctions and fines as identified above plus such  
 24 other compensation as the Court deems just, to OMNI THERM for OMNI THERM's violation  
 25 of this Court's Consent Judgment and permanent injunction.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Date: 5-5-04



JUDGE HON. DEAN D. PREGERSON